## Key Risks (refer to note 1)

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
1.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Business Resilience – Sub-risk  IT resilience  Systems not joined up and connected Strategic Information technology framework not implemented effectively Electronic information storage capacity Lack of top tier response plans ISP version update to the infrastructure of the internet will have to move over to a new system, IPv6 previous versions not being compatible  2012 Olympics delivery risks to H & F	If an event occurs  Customers face delays in service provision  Time to recover power and IT Services could be between 6 & 8 weeks  Loss of information  Service interruption  Loss of productivity  Non compliance with statutory duties - indirectly  Increased cost of resurrecting services ( only partially insurable)  Threat to life - indirectly  Wasted resources & staff duplication in recovery phase  Cost of additional data storage capacity  Impact on service delivery due to potential of a local outbreak affecting staff and the public  Delays/ interruption to public transport system due to investment programmes in infrastructure  Skills and resource shortage leading to commencement of the games  Potential threat of a terrorist attack	<ul> <li>Corporate Incident Management Procedures incorporate Business Continuity</li> <li>Training has been delivered to local service plan leaders</li> <li>A corporate service resilience group has been formed and meet periodically</li> <li>Assistant Directors of Resources have been appointed as Departmental contact leads</li> <li>Local Service Plans have been compiled, reviewed and refreshed and quality checked by Emergency Services</li> <li>H &amp; F Bridge Partnership have submitted a Local Service Recovery Plan and has worked with the council to undertake a formal risk assessment, a major incident process has been established by HFBP as part of the Service Desk Manual</li> <li>Data recovery is insured under the councils corporate insurance package ( but limited )</li> <li>Terrorism insurance cover</li> <li>A threat assessment has been compiled</li> <li>Some ITC service has been moved to East London</li> </ul>	Business Continuity Audit report 2008/09 ( Limited Assurance ) in, ICT Disaster recovery provisions Audit report 2009/10 ( Nil Assurance ) Data storage & back up audit Audit report 2009/10 ( Substantial assurance )  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee	3	4	12	Medium	Jane West ( Insurance & H F Bridge Partnership contract monitoring ) Lyn Carpenter ( Corporate Business Continuity )	Review July 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Terrorist attack	Service interruption     Property loss or damage     Injury or harm	The Business Continuity (BC) project now involves provision of IT BC for approximately 30 First Order applications as identified by H&F. The data is replicated from the primary data centre at East London to the secondary site at HTH. Additionally, there is local network switch resilience within HTH; resilience for the infrastructure elements such as profiles, home folders and printing; plus annual tests of parts of the BC solution.  User acceptance testing of the business continuity has established a small number of applications require further work but the project is effectively complete  NOTE Please refer to BCP Risk Assessment for highlighted risks and controls							
2.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Sub-risks Projects do not consider enough time to mobilise in the event services are awarded to the private sector Project implementation is delayed due to protracted discussions regarding pensions transfer The risk of challenge to contract awards may increase during the harsher economic climate Large scale high risk high return projects are not led by a qualified or experienced project manager.	Customers needs and expectations are not fully met when projects are delivered Benefits of investment in creating toolkit not realised Threat of overspend on projects Benefits are not fully realised Delays in mobilisation of services through revised contracts	Project Management toolkit Training of Officers has being delivered and is ongoing Transformation Office in Finance & Corporate Services Department acts as a repository for project information and reports to EMT but does not ensure compliance with any toolkit Senior Managers have all been briefed about the Project Toolkit Toolkit is available on desktop PC's Monthly transformation reporting to EMT (dashboard) Competition Board monitor	Corporate Programme & project management audited in 2009 draft report issued ( Limited Assurance )  Competition Board  Transformation Board  Audit Commission review of	3	3	9	Low	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review July 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Too many projects are undertaken with unrealistic or unachievable targets     Successful delivery of the World Class Financial Management Programme		aspects of project management compliance Procedures for TUPE transfer have been included in project management instructions Programme and Portfolio governance arrangements are being formalised Lessons learned report	selected contract management scheduled 2010  Internal Audit review of specific contracts under 2009/10 Audit Plan and of Use of Consultants ( Nil Assurance ) EMT, Pension and Audit Committee						
3.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services, Providing a top quality education for all, Tackling crime & anti-social behaviour, A cleaner greener borough, Promoting home ownership.	Managing statutory duty  Sub-risks Non-compliance with laws and regulations  Breach of duty of care  Departmental assurances	Non compliance may result in prosecution or a Corporate Manslaughter charge Financial compensation may be claimed Injury or death to a member of the public or employee A breach of information security protocols may result in fines, harm to reputation and personal liability of Directors Inadequate level of service Poor satisfaction with statutory services Potential claims involving failures in Social Care (Stamford House)	Nigel Pallace appointed lead Sponsor on EMT for Health & Safety Pro-active Health, Safety and Welfare culture across the council Contractors are managed within CHAS regime Insurance cover is in place in the event of a claim for breach of duty of care and in respect of financial claims Legislative changes are adopted and reflected in amendment to the council's constitution, budget allocation through MTFS (Now unified business & financial planning process) Training and guidance packages and newly agreed	Internal Audit undertook an Audit of this in 2008/09 and a follow up is planned  Health & Safety Internal Audit undertaken 2009/10 demonstrated improvements and substantial assurance  Annual Assurance process  Assurance	3	4	12	Medium	Geoff Alltimes	Review July 2011
		Departmental assurances		packages and newly agreed performance management indicators	required that actions are						

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Corporate Parenting		Periodic reportting to EMT Corporate Safety Committee Briefings for Senior Managers on Corporate Manslaughter have been undertaken Health & Safety week promoted the theme of risk assessment Health & Safety guidelines have been reviewed, refreshed and communicated Promotion of the Occupational Health Service and Workplace Options Employee Assistance Scheme Housing and Regeneration have rolled out personal safety training to over 130 staff through the Suzy Lamplugh Trust Training  Local Safeguarding Childerns Board, Unannounced Safeguarding Inspection, Ofsted, Local and London Child Protection Procedures	being taken to ensure compliance with the law and regulations  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee Education Committee, Safety Committee						
5.	Delivering value for money	Managing budgets  Sub-risks  • Austere financial settlement from government is not favourable. The council is seen as a floor authority. • Impact of a double dip recession and cascade effect on social budgets * link to revenue forecast • Demand led services may occur mid year resulting in	Pressure on the authority to manage overspends Departments have to manage cost pressures Pressure to meet target savings and Administrations commitment to cut Council Tax HMRC recover VAT from the council affecting cash flow	July 2011 Corporate Revenue and Capital Monitoring report to Overview & Scrutiny Board notes a favorable revenue outturn.     E-Learning package for Finance Managers now live     Collaborative Planning system now being introduced with supported training for budget holders	Annual Audit Letter  Select Committees are given the opportunity to fully scrutinise budgets during January.	2	4	8	Low	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review July 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		unanticipated additional costs  HMRC VAT claims regarding partnering activities  Grant application is incorrectly calculated  Unplanned growth Failure to achieve VFM Accruals & reconciliations Planned savings not implemented Creditworthiness of some contractors may be downgraded as a result of the economic downturn Increase in social welfare services as a result of the economic downturn may impact on projected spend. Insufficient budgetary provision and/or budgetary under/overspend * Incomplete/inaccurate accounting records linked to the World Class Financial Management Programme Upgrade of CEDAR Financial System to Version 5.3 from 5.1	Repayment of Grants     CEDAR 5.1 will no longer be supported by the product supplier	<ul> <li>Medium Term Financial Strategy and Business Planning Processes have been combined and is remodelled</li> <li>MTFS Officer &amp; Member Challenge</li> <li>Efficiency programme management in place identifying statutory v discretionary services</li> <li>Leader's monthly monitoring reports</li> <li>Financial Strategy Board (FSB) periodically evaluates the effectiveness of the financial management arrangements</li> <li>Partnership activity now includes a VAT trace and has been raised at FSB</li> <li>Grant Claims &amp; returns record is tracked at FSB</li> <li>Monthly corporate revenue &amp; capital monitoring to cabinet</li> <li>Reports to the Leader identify where spend levels exceed a tolerable level during the year</li> <li>Credit check of contractors is being undertaken through the Competition Board</li> <li>Disposal of Assets</li> <li>CEDAR Planning and preparation work will begin 7 months before the start of the actual implementation, so as to ensure that there is sufficient time to carry out work thoroughly. This timescale also includes slippage time of two months, in case of unforeseen complications.</li> </ul>	Assurance required that complete and accurate accounting records are being maintained *  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee, External Audit  Cabinet Members Decision report on CEDAR upgrade						

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
6.	Putting residents first, Setting the framework for a healthy borough	Successful partnerships & Major Contracts Sub-risks  Partnering activity with other boroughs and the NHS may blur the lines of responsibility, accountability or liability in the event of service failure  Plans to remodel the PCT's and delivery of health services through GP's as per the White Paper – Liberating the NHS	Joint objectives are not met     Community expectations are not met     Relationship deteriorates     Threat of overspends and underspend	Governance arrangements are in place     Performance monitoring reports reported to Select Cttee's     H & F Bridge Performance Monitoring     Financial creditworthiness checks at Competition Board	H & F Bridge Partnership Assurance process  PCT are Audited by the Audit Commission  Competition Board  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee	4	3	12	Medium	Geoff Alltimes	Review July 2011
7.	Delivering value for money	Maintaining reputation and service standards  Sub-risks  • Multiplicity of external forces and initiatives  • Breach of Officer or Member code of conduct  • Inappropriate Data released	Threat to the status of the council  Failure to deliver plans & savings. Ability to effectively lead and resource the transformation agenda is diminished Service delivery deteriorates  Potential adverse media reporting  Potential adverse media reporting	A review of the corporate governance arrangements has conducted by Internal Audit and a revised Local Code of Corporate Governance has been produced     Annual Complaints review report April 2010 to March 2011 produced to Committee     New Information Management Security Protocols published on the Intranet     Regular reporting on Security Incidents by the Information Management Team     Combined Business Planning & MTFS processes     Business Planning is part of the performance management competencies	Cabinet Ofsted, Care Quality Commission, Annual Audit letter  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee, Overview and Scrutiny Board  ITSOG	3	3	9	Low	All Directors	Review July 2011
		Poor data quality	Quality and integrity of data	Risk & assurance registers	Data quality						

lo.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		internally or from third parties, breaches of information protocols, information erroneously sent to third parties.  • Auto forwarding of information ( Information control and threat of leakage )	held in support of Performance Management & Financial systems leads to under or over estimation	have been developed for all departments and divisions  Performance statistics are scrutinised by Select Committee's, EMT & DMT's  Corvu Performance Management System is able to pick up anomalies  Data Quality Training	review conducted by Internal Audit and a Management Letter has been issued with low level recommendation s					·	
i.	Delivering value for money	Managing fraud (Internal & External) Sub-risks				2	3	6	Low	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review July 2011
		Misappropriation of assets *	Loss of reputation     Financial loss     Adverse regulatory /audit report     Inadequately resourced fraud unit	Revised risk & assurance register produced May 2011     New model being piloted to collate information from fraud cases and disseminate the recommendations through risk	Audit Committee receive quarterly reports on Fraud						2011
				& assurance registers     Literature and training has been delivered to all levels of the authority     Information and guidance has been published on the	Survey 2008  Assurance required that assets are safeguarded						
				corporate intranet  Awareness survey has been undertaken  A Corporate Fraud Service has been established  Level of fraud is being tracked through FSB	EMT, Audit and Pension Committee						
				Close working relationship is established with the Police Fraud risks being integrated into risk registers CAFS team now use a risk							
				assessment to assist in targeting and workload prioritisation							
	Delivering value for	Successful cultural change	Potential internal uncertainty re: staff morale	<ul> <li>Transforming the way we do business, Market</li> </ul>	Staff survey, Corporate	3	3	9	Low	Jane West	Review

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
	money	Right staff not available for this work due to increasing workloads while also downsizing and restructuring.	Change consumes more resource than VFM/efficiency gains realise     Uncertainty leads to low staff morale and lower productivity.	Management and other Portfolio Transformation Programmes  Effective communications programme  Staff Survey undertaken in 2009 and follow up actions are being delivered  Career development discussions  Revised sections in Business Planning document inc. Equalities & Diversity and  Smartworking	Workforce Group EMT, Audit and Pension Committee Transformation Board						July 2011
10.	Putting residents first	Managing the Business Objectives (publics needs and expectations)  Sub-risks  • A successor integrated financial and business planning process is not delivered	The Public or section of the public may not receive the service that they need or to the quality they expect Reputation of the service may be affected Regeneration of Shepherds Bush Market and Former Library and wider Regeneration Services are delivered in an unplanned way Services start to do their own thing Maverick decisions Inconsistencies in service delivery start to emerge Lack of transparency Duplication of effort Communication of objectives and values is lost Target and Objective setting is diminished reducing the	Robust Business Planning regime revised for 10-12 incorporating fully the Medium Term Financial Strategy     Performance monitoring and feedback through local media     Organisational Development in conjunction with Deloitte's have undertaken a review of the Business Planning process     Customer experience and satisfaction surveys	Cabinet Members and Scrutiny Cttee review performance Ofsted, Care Quality Commission	3	3	9	Low	All Directors	Review July 2011
			is diminished reducing the effectiveness of the performance management regime for officers								

	T =	Г				1 -	т.	1 -		T	
11.	Delivering value for money	Market Testing of Services ( refer to Competition Board Roadmap )	Increase in threat of legal challenge on contract awards Officers time away from other projects Timescale of project is tight Insufficient numbers of Officers designated to the project Benefits are not realised Data Quality (Accuracy, timeliness of information) results in variation to original contract spec	<ul> <li>Lean thinking exercise of procurement processes to make them slicker and more efficient</li> <li>Consultation with other boroughs</li> <li>Project managing the process</li> <li>Separation or joining of projects to maximise benefit potential</li> <li>Realistic timetables agreed and reviewed at Competition Board</li> <li>Market Testing progress report to EMT</li> <li>Programme &amp; Project Management – Risk Logs being maintained, periodic risk reviews</li> </ul>	Competition Board, Transformation Board, EMT, Audit review conducted for Use of Contractors	3	3	9	Low	All Directors	Review July 2011
12.		Scrutiny of Public Health Service	Department of Health is creating a governing body ( Public Health England ) where a joint appointment of a Director with the Council – would be necessary.     Currently the appointment is jointly with the NHS trust     Maintaining an audit trail of financial expenditure     Monitoring of financial spend against performance targets to achieve financial credit or top ups     Mayor of London seeks increased responsibility for some Public Health work areas in competition to Local Authorities that could reduce the amount allocated to the Council     Setting up a Health and Wellbeing Board attendees would need to include Councillors and managing their time demands     Three Boroughs merged	<ul> <li>Director of Public Health attends Housing, Health and Adult Social Care Select Committee</li> <li>Dedicated officers implementing the setting up of a Health &amp; Well Being Board</li> <li>Pilot council before full delivery which is due ( start April 1st 2013)</li> <li>HM Government Healthy Lives Healthy People Nov 2010</li> <li>Joint meetings with K &amp; C &amp; Westminster</li> <li>Officer meetings with Department of Health</li> </ul>	EMT	3	3	9	Low	Geoff Alltimes	Review July 2011

					I			1	1		
			services may result in functions being delivered to support the new responsibilities jointly  • LBHF currently jointly fund the Director of Public Health post, RBKC don't fund Westminster to jointly fund  • Deprivation statistics could affect the distribution of financial settlement unevenly  • Public Health budgets will be ring fenced however local authorities seek unringfencing of the monies  • Commissioning of services responsibilities for some health inequalities ( healthly eating, smoking cessation, immunisation, screening, air pollution, drugs and alcohol, teenage pregnancy)  • Provision of audit and resilience services i.e. managing environmental hazards and emergency planning								
ODDO	DTUNITY DIC	- VC				1					
2.	RTUNITY RIS  Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Merging of education services with Westminster Council	Savings due to removal of duplication across the councils	Appointment of a single Director of Childrens Services for the Three Boroughs      Report to Cabinet 10-01-2011 updated members on progress including the establishment of  1.A joint commissioning unit and the establishment of an arm's length delivery unit for education services across the three LAs by September 2012, with an interim merged service in place for the new academic year in September 2011.  2. For the exploration, in the	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low	Andrew Christie	Review July 2011

		second phase, of possible				
		different models for the delivery				
		of services - options may include				
		market testing or a social				
		enterprise.				
		3. That agreement be given for				
		the development of shared				
		provision for the Local Children's				
		Safeguarding Board, Fostering				
		and Adoption services and				
		Youth Offending services by				
		September 2011, subject to				
		agreement by WCC and RBKC				
		Councils.				
		4. With a view to the				
		implementation in line with these				
		timescales, that the Director of				
		Children's Services be				
		authorised to :				
		i) reach agreement with fellow				
		Directors of Children's Services				
		on reorganisation proposals on a				
		service by service or part service				
		basis, with a view to agreeing				
		the future scope of such				
		services; management				
		arrangements; the staffing				
		structures for such services; the				
		advisability of harmonising terms				
		and conditions across boroughs;				
		and the implementation of a joint				
		commissioning strategy;				
		ii) consult with affected staff and				
		unions on the basis that any				
		sharing of services will initially				
		take place by affected staff				
		either being seconded to work				
		with staff at other boroughs or				
		will be transferred to the				
		employment of a host borough				
		depending on the detail of the				
		agreement to be reached with				
		other boroughs on a service by				
		service or part service basis;				
		iii) implement the sharing of the				
		services				
		to agree the terms of any				
	1	secondment either to or from the				

	1	T		1	T		1	1	1	ı	
				Council; to agree any necessary changes to staffing structures; and to authorise any resulting redundancies in accordance with the Council's usual procedures and to do everything necessary to give effect to the above.  5. That it is agreed that the implementation of these proposals and any future proposals in relation to Children's Services be aligned with the requirements and timescales for the wider development of shared services across the three LAs.  Report to Cabinet 20th June 2011 updated Members on the business case as a basis for moving forward.							
3.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Merging of services with Westminster& RB Kensington and Chelsea	Savings due to removal of duplication across the council	Review of corporate and back office functions Review of opportunities with contracts Risk Register compiled and is being presented to the Programme Board  Report to Cabinet 20 <sup>th</sup> June 2011 updated Members on  1.The business case as a basis for moving forward.  Adult Social Care Libraries Service Environment Services  5.Appointment of Joint Chief Executive and Head of Paid Service  6. Athena (Corporate Services)	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low	All Directors	July 2011

				TriBorough Portfolio Management Office responsibilities established including the lead programme contacts. Terms of reference produced for the Members Steering Group							
4	high quality, value for money public services	Regeneration of Shepherds Bush Market and former Shepherds Bush Library	Community benefits through improved market area, social housing and use of buildings	Section 106 possible funding and partnering with developer over scheme	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low	Mel Barrett	July 2011
5	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Re-integration of H & F Homes	Savings due to the removal of duplication in back office functions  There will be some immediate savings of circa £700k that flow from the integration of the ALMO as a result of the deletion of vacant posts, which would otherwise be duplicated in the new structure, and the elimination of agency workers and contractors to whom TUPE does not apply.	Consultation exercise has demonstrated public opinion to re-integrate and a report recommending re-integration presented to Cabinet 10-01-2011	Cabinet Internal Audit review of Integration April 2011 Draft Substantial Assurance Corporate Safety Committee Housing and Regeneration DMT	2	4	8	Low	Mel Barrett	July 2011
		There is an increased risk that staff will continue to apply procedures from the ALMO.  Where the HF Homes risk management framework is not effectively integrated into	This may lead to key management tasks not being undertaken due to confusion over responsibilities  A formal action plan for integrating the HF Homes risk management framework within the Council's framework	Briefings or training sessions are provided to line managers	FSB, EMT						
		the Council's framework, this may lead to key risks being lost in the integration or duplication of effort where the same risk appears on multiple registers or against multiple risk owners.	should be established.  The plan should include but not be limited to:  • Adapting risk register templates;	An individual has been identified to lead and respond on the risk management process. As such it has been agreed that a risk and assurance register shall be developed in August that will be							

			Identification of risk owners within the Housing and Regeneration Department;      Reporting procedure for risks and their mitigation;      Ensuring that risks are not lost or duplicated; and      Appointing a Risk Management representative for the department.  The Housing and Regeneration Department should also appoint a representative to the Corporate Performance Group.  Where a post integration communication strategy and channels of communication are not established, there is an increased risk that staff will not	Representative of the department has been invited to attend future Corporate Performance Group Meetings  Post-integration communication channels have been established to secure staff buy-in into the integration.  The communication channels enable staff to express concerns						
			fully engage in the integration process. This may impact on the morale of staff from both	and seek advice on any issues in respect of them adapting the Council's working practices and						
			HF Homes and the Council.	culture.						
6.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Regeneration of King Street and Civic Offices	The Town Hall extension has come to the end of its life and needs to either be demolished or refurbished. An estimated cost of around £18m in temporarily accommodating staff through a relocation to facilitate repairs  New office accommodation at no cost is being provided in	Planning Committee and team independence Public consultation The council's advisers, Cushman & Wakefield, ran a competition for development of the existing site – which also includes the council car park on Nigel Playfair Avenue. The competition was based on which scheme delivers the best value	Cabinet	3	5	15	Medium	April 2011
			exchange for land  A new modern building is also	for money to the borough's taxpayers, the best opportunity to regenerate this run-down part						

exi	spected to save around	of King Street and the least				
	150,000 in energy costs	disruption to local residents.				
	150,000 in energy costs	disruption to local residents.				
1		<b>5</b>				
	obs will be created in King	Public exhibition				
Str	treet					
		Planning documents are				
l Ar	new community-sized	available to view on the council's				
	permarket and a range of	website				
	ew restaurants and other					
	tailers, alongside a council	A planning application to				
		redevelop the area around				
	One Stop Shop', will draw					
	ore people down King Street	Hammersmith Town Hall was				
	nd encourage more	submitted to Hammersmith &				
inv	vestment in the area	Fulham (H&F) Council on Friday				
		(29 October).				
Su	uccessful redevelopment	The application from King Street				
wo	ould enable the council to	Developments Ltd (KSD) will				
ter	rminate contracts for various	trigger a new round of				
	ostly leased buildings around	consultation as the council, now				
	e borough savings around	acting as local planning				
	2 million a year.	authority, consults extensively				
122	I IIIIIIOII a year.					
		with residents, amenity groups				
		and other interested parties.				
		Information from local amenity				
		groups has been passed to				
		planning officers.				
		The Leader of the Council has				
		attended a Save or Skyline				
		meeting				
		<u> </u>				
		The Leader of the Council wrote				
		to prominent amenity societies				
		to make the case for				
		regeneration following concerns				
		from some groups				
		An independent financial				
		An independent financial				
		assessment from				
		PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC)				
		on the viability of the proposals				
 	L				l	

Note 1. All key risks have been extracted from( but not limited to) a number of sources for analysis by the Corporate Management Team. The sources include;

- i. Previous Corporate Risk Register
- ii. Benchmarking with other Local Authorities on Identified Risks
- iii. Information identified from Departmental Risk Registers
- iv. Officers Knowledge and experience
- v. The Office of Government Commerce Project Risk Management Handbook

- vi. Procurement exercises
- vii. Significant Weaknesses established from the Annual Assurance process
- viii. Audit Reports
- ix. Knowledge and experience of public sector risks from the Principal Risk Consultant
- x. Data Quality and Integrity
- xi. Programme Management Office monthly report

Note 2. Categorised under the PESTLE methodology as published in the Hammersmith & Fulham Risk Standard. Compliant with Audit Commission/ ALARM/IRM/CIPFA best practice.

#### \* Derived from Deloitte's Assurance Framework 2007/2008

# Residual CORPORATE RISK REGISTER



